

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0111026 DATE: 3/8/10 ARRIVE: 830 DEPART: 1200		
FACILITY NAME: HUMANE SOCIETY OF BROWARD COUNTY		
FACILITY LOCATION: 2070 GRIFFIN RD		
FORT LAUDERDALE 33312		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CHRISTOPHER AGOSTINO PHONE: (954)989-397	77	
CONTACT NAME: Bob Ansel PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/18/2008 / 7/18/2013 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 	Yes	No No
62-297, F.A.C.)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (R		_
62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.)	⊠Yes	☐ No
	⊠Yes	□No
volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O2 on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method	⊠Yes	□ No
b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	⊠Yes	☐ No
c) Particulate matter emissions test with results equal to or below the requirements of 0.080 grains per dry standard cubic foot (ft^3)of flue gas, corrected to 7% O_2 and tested according to EPA Method 5		
(Ref.: Chapter62-297, F.A.C.)?5. Was all emissions testing conducted with the source operating at the manufacturers recommended	⊠Yes	☐ No
1 ,	⊠Yes □Yes	☐ No 図 No
7. Was the Department notified at least 15 days prior to the date of the last formal compliance test?		☐ No

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record tem		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber comb		zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	∀ Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recor		such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device		☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	No No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		No No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	□ No
6) Adjustments		□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		□ No
	Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
	Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	1 03	110
	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	1 C3	110
	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	1 cs	110
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
	Yes	□ No
	1 cs	
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?	7 137	□ Na
	ĭ r es	∐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	7.	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊻Yes	∐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	7.	
	Yes	∐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	-	<u></u>
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	Yes	⊠ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	_	_
	_Yes	☐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
thick?	Yes	☐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	Yes	No No
	Yes	☐ No
	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		_ _
	∀ Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment wither replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes	No No No No No No No	
Courtney Pitters	3/8/10		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	3/8/11		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspecti	on	

COMMENTS: Visible emissions testing was not conducted during this site inspection. Notification has been received and testing will be 4/6/10. All required record keeping was at the site and presented when requested. No odors or fugitive emissions were observed during the perimeter walk through of the facility. No environmental air violations were observed during CY 2010 compliance inspection.